



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,218	08/29/2001		Takashi Naiki	81876.0024	8887	
26021	7590	07/01/2004		EXAMINER		
HOGAN &		SON L.L.P.	KASSA, YOSEF			
SUITE 1900		NUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611				2625	H	
				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/943,218	NAIKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	YOSEF KASSA	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the properties of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period of	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day if will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	August 2001.						
	is action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 5-14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on $08/29/2001$ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	. ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		- · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/943,218

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. That is, the phrase "assuming" cited in claim 1 is vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al (U.S. Patent 5,283,635), and further in view of Tanaka et al (U.S. 5,155,581).

With regard to claim 1, Suzuki et al discloses voltage band, i.e., range, determination means (see Fig. 8, voltage range 0-n) for determining a voltage band (see col. 4, lines 10-14), generated by an image sensor outputting a compressed image (compressive image sensor) in response to the light received by said compressive image sensor (see col. 4, lines 20-28); and image conversion means for converting said

Application/Control Number: 09/943,218

Art Unit: 2625

voltage band by expanding said band, assuming the voltage to depend linearly on the intensity of light over said voltage band (see col. 4, lines 35-51).

Suzuki et al does not explicitly call for an image displaying process. However, in the same field of endeavor, Tanaka et al discloses this feature (see Fig. 2A, item 600). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the teaching of Tanaka et al image enhancing process into Suzuki et al system. The motivation for doing so is to display an image signal.

With regard to claim 2, Suzuki et al discloses compressive image sensor is a logarithmic conversion image sensor (see col. 4, lines 20-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 5-14 are allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance. The closest prior art of record failed to teach or suggest, constructing a ratio of the sum of the assessment pixel data belonging to an assessment area that precedes currently processing pixel data to the sum of said assessment pixel data summed on the assumption that all of said assessment pixel data have maximum possible values, multiplying each of the pixel data by said ratio and a first predetermined coefficient, and replacing by a prescribed post conversion maximum those pixel data that exceed said maximum upon multiplication of said ratio and said coefficient and second conversion for obtaining second conversion data by subtracting each of said pixel data from post conversion maximum, and multiplying each of the subtracted data of by a second

Application/Control Number: 09/943,218

Art Unit: 2625

predetermined coefficient, and in combination with all the other limitations claims 5-14 are allowable.

3. Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Other Prior Art Cited

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. (6,404,487) to Sato et al disclose light measuring device.

US Patent No. (5,214,294) to Toyofuku disclose scan reading method...

US Patent No. (4,974,007) to Yoshida discloses photographing device having plural range...

US Patent No. (5,291,044) to Gaboury et al disclose image sensor with continuous time photodiode.

US Patent No. (4,281,295) to Nishimura et al disclose noise reducing apparatus.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOSEF KASSA whose telephone number is (703) 306-5918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BHAVESH MEHTA can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication and (703) 872-9306 for after Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631. The group receptionist number for TC 2600 is (703) 305-4700.

PATENT EXAMINER

Yosef Kassa

06/23/04.

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600